

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

MICHAEL E. WOLFE,	)	C/A No. 4:18-1350-TMC-TER
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	ORDER
NFN. RYNOLDS,	)	
NFN. SHARP,	)	
NFN ROGERS,	)	
NFN McCULLOUGH,	)	
NFN. RICHARDSON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

Within Plaintiff's numerous motions, he has requested the court to appoint certain attorneys to represent him. There is no right to appointed counsel in Section 1983 cases. Hardwick v. Ault, 517 F.2d 295 (5th Cir. 1975). The court is granted the power to exercise its discretion to appoint counsel for an indigent in a civil action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) and Smith v. Blackledge, 451 F.2d 1201 (4th Cir. 1971). However, the appointment "should be allowed only in exceptional cases." Cook v. Bounds, 518 F.2d 779, 780 (4th Cir. 1975). At this time, the undersigned finds exceptional circumstances in this case to warrant the appointment of counsel.

Therefore, the court appoints Henry P. Wall, Esquire, to represent Plaintiff in this action. The outstanding motions filed in this case are deemed moot. The court will enter a new scheduling order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 20, 2019  
Florence, South Carolina

s/Thomas E. Rogers, III  
Thomas E. Rogers, III  
United States Magistrate Judge